

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO But 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.waybi.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/749,177	12/30/2003	David S. Taylor	59131US002	7876	
33029. T550 3M INNOVATIVE PROPERTIES COMPANY PO BOX 33427			EXAM	EXAMINER	
			PATEL, NIHIR B		
ST. PAUL, MN 55133-3427		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
			3772		
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			11/13/2008	EL ECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

LegalUSDocketing@mmm.com LegalDocketing@mmm.com

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/749 177 TAYLOR ET AL. Office Action Summary Examiner Art Unit NIHIR PATEL -- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS. WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). Status 1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on July 11th, 2008. 2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final. 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. Disposition of Claims 4) Claim(s) 1-30 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) 2.3 and 15-17 is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1.6-10.13.14 and 21-30 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 4.5.11.12.19 and 20 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement. Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner. 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are; a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abevance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. Attachment(s)

Application/Control Number: 10/749,177 Page 2

Art Unit: 3772

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election of Group 6 (figures 25 and 26; claims 1, 4-14, 18-30) in the reply filed on July 11th, 2008 is acknowledged. Because applicant did not distinctly and specifically point out the supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election has been treated as an election without traverse (MPEP § 818.03(a)).

Claims 2, 3 and 15-17 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR
 1.142(b), as being drawn to a nonelected species, there being no allowable generic or linking claim. Applicant timely traversed the restriction (election) requirement in the reply filed on July 11th, 2008.

The requirement is still deemed proper and is therefore made FINAL.

Drawings

3. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, "the second respiratory component having at least two spaced a part clip openings" and "the clip openings of the first respiratory component comprise four generally parallel slots, and wherein the intermediate portion of the mounting clip is received in each of those slots" must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet. even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- Claims 1, 6-10, 13, 14, 18 and 21-26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Ging et al. (US 6,907,882).
- 6. As to claim 1, Ging teaches an apparatus that comprises a first respiratory component 34 having at least two spaced apart clip openings 38 (see figure 5c; col. 10 lines 34-52); a second respiratory component 34 having at least two spaced apart clip openings 38 (see figure 5c; col.

10 lines 34-52); and a mounting clip 82 having an intermediate portion (the area located between the free ends 116) and two spaced apart free ends 116 connected to the intermediate portion (see figures 9a and 9b), wherein the intermediate portion of the mounting clip is received within both of the clip openings of the first respiratory component (see figure 10a) and the free ends of the mounting clip are received in the clip openings of the second respiratory component for releasably securing the first and second respiratory components together (see figure 10a).

- As to claims 6 and 7, Ging teaches an apparatus wherein the first and second respiratory component comprises a belt (see figures 5a and 5c).
- 8. As to claim 8, Ging teaches an apparatus wherein each free end of the mounting clip has a biased detent tab thereon for locking engagement with an opposed detent surface adjacent its respective clip opening on the second respiratory component (see figures 5a and 5c).
- As to claim 9, Ging teaches an apparatus wherein the mounting clip is formed from polypropylene (see col. 15 lines 24-30).
- 10. As to claim 10, Ging teaches an apparatus that comprises a respiratory component 34 having at least two spaced apart connector receptacles 38 therein (see figure 5c; col. 10 lines 34-52); a mounting clip 82 having at least two spaced apart free ends 116 which are at least partially received within the connector receptacles of the respiratory respiratory component (see figures 10a); and a user wearable respiratory component support member having at least two slots therein, wherein an intermediate portion of the mounting clip between its free ends is received in those slots for affixing the respiratory component to the respiratory component support member (see figure 10a).

Page 5

Art Unit: 3772

11. As to claim 13, Ging teaches an apparatus that comprises the respiratory component being one of plurality of respiratory components, with each respiratory component having at least two spaced apart connector receptacles therein, wherein the orientations of the connector receptacles from one respiratory component to another are different (see figure 5a and 5c); the mounting clip being one of a plurality clips, each mounting clip having at least two spaced apart free ends, wherein the alignments of the free ends from one mounting clips to another are different to accommodate the different orientations of connector receptacles on the respiratory components (see figures 9a, 9b and 10a).

- As to claim 14, Ging teaches an apparatus wherein the free ends of the mounting clip are aligned to extend in generally opposite directions (see figures 9a, 9b and 10a).
- As to claim 18, Ging teaches an apparatus wherein the support member is a belt (see figures 5a and 5c).
- 14. As to claim 21, Ging teaches an apparatus wherein the slots are generally parallel (see figure 5c).
- As to claim 22, Ging teaches an apparatus wherein the slots are generally vertically aligned (see figure 5c).
- 16. As to claim 23, Ging teaches an apparatus wherein tat least the free ends of the mounting clips are flexibly resilient (see col. 15 lines 24-30).
- 17. As to claim 24, Ging teaches an apparatus wherein each free end is removably received within its respective connector receptacle of the respiratory component (see figures 9a, 9b and 10a).

Application/Control Number: 10/749,177 Page 6

Art Unit: 3772

18. As to claim 25, Ging teaches an apparatus wherein the intermediate portion of the mounting clip removably received in the slots of the respiratory component support member (see figures 9a, 9b and 10a).

 As to claims 26, Ging teaches an apparatus wherein the mounting clip is formed from polypropylene (see col. 15 lines 24-30).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all
 obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 21. The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham v. John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:
 - 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
 - 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
 - Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
 - Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.
- Claims 27-30 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Ging et al. (US 6,907,882).
- 23. As to claims 27 and 28, Ging substantially discloses method steps comprises providing at least two spaced apart connector receptacles 38 on the respiratory component (see figure 5c; col. 10 lines 34-52); providing at least two generally parallel slots in the support member;

Art Unit: 3772

providing a mounting clip 82 having an intermediate portion (the section between 116 is defined as the intermediate section) and two spaced apart free ends 116 projecting therefrom; inserting the intermediate portion of the mounting clip into the slots on the support member, with the free ends of the mounting clip projecting from one side of the support member; and inserting the free ends of the mounting clip into the connector receptacles on the respiratory component (see figures 5a, 5c, 9a, 9b and 10a).

The method steps would have been obvious because they would have resulted from the use of the device of Ging.

24. As to claim 29 and 30, Ging substantially discloses method steps comprises providing at least two spaced apart connector receptacles 38 on the respiratory component (see figure 5c; col. 10 lines 34-52); providing at least two slots in the support member, providing a mounting clip 82 having an intermediate portion (the section between free ends 116 is defined as the intermediate section) and two spaced apart free ends 116 projecting therefrom; inserting the intermediate portion of the mounting clip into the clip openings on the respiratory component, with the free ends of the mounting clip projecting from one side of the respiratory component; and inserting the free end of the mounting clip into the slots of the support member (see figures 5a, 5c, 9a, 9b and 10a)

The method steps would have been obvious because they would have resulted from the use of the device of Ging. Art Unit: 3772

Allowable Subject Matter

25. Claims 4, 5, 11, 12, 19 and 20 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims. The prior art does not disclose clip openings of the first respiratory component that comprises four generally parallel slots, and wherein the intermediate portion of the mounting clip is received in each of those slots.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to NIHIR PATEL whose telephone number is (571)272-4803. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30 to 4:30 every other Fridays off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Patricia Bianco can be reached on (571) 272-4940. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Art Unit: 3772

/Nihir Patel/ Examiner, Art Unit 3772

/Patricia Bianco/

Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3772